1. Not reasonable - this would consider Habibi Cafe a temporary business on a probationary period and allow the public and several departments to dictate how Habibi is structured, operated, and limit the possibilities for business which others have, such as a breakfast hour, or birthday parties. This is stricter than any job.
2. This is fine, assuming this means we are checked just as often as any other business.
3. This is fine, assuming the restrictions added are deemed necessary
4. This is fine, assuming every business in Westwood has the same responsibility which has only a 24 hour time frame. (Please provide clarification as to what is considered “graffiti”, art is subjective.
5. Not reasonable, no legal basis to reference to. Why should someone who comes in after us be subjected to these rules. This “condition” claims it be mandatory to accept ALL conditions which we currently are opposed to.
6. This is fine, assuming a new building permit should be issued, all current permits shall stay as they are.
7. This is fine.
8. This is fine.
9. This is fine, this is currently what we are doing.
10. Not reasonable, we have always been allowed to be an after hours location for over 20 years and crimes in Westwood occur at all times of the day and evening as we have proven. There are food restaurants in Westwood who open earlier and close later. This limits a business owner's rights, and income without any law in place or notice in advance to make changes in a timely manner which is a violation in itself.
11. This is fine, we do not have any employees who are exposed to smoke nor do we even fit into this code violation. We choose not to be harassed based on assumptions.
12. This is fine, all drinks and/or food is sealed and prepackaged just as the Tobacco enforcement program recommended, making it legal and which avoids violations.
13. This is fine, we don’t serve alcohol which is what makes it a nice environment and allows us to keep our hours open late.
14. This is fine, we do not offer live entertainment. Through misunderstandings years back we may have had some issues with it but have not had any live entertaining at the cafe. Also the mobile strip club was by diddy riese and we assumed this was their idea as it was not ours. Please investigate who is responsible for the mobile strip club because forcing us to take responsibility for something we had no part in. That is very corrupt, defaming and negligent of all the city and LAPD departments.
15. This is fine, we will no longer use the term “private”
16. This is fine, there has never and will never be any adult entertainment. To add this condition is a waste of ink, paper and all of the department's time as it is a weak tactic used to defame us and has no evidence or relevance. Therefore it shall be removed.
17. This is fine, the same standard for conditions 14 and 16 apply here, it's irrelevant to this hearing.
18. This is fine, the same standard for conditions 14, 16 and 18 apply here, it's irrelevant to this hearing.

19. Not reasonable, it would be safer to have a private event and exclude the public as it would reduce the loitering and spread of foot traffic we bring to Westwood making it easier for us to control. There is no violation stating we cannot have any parties.
20. Not reasonable, this condition states “Any use of the restaurant”. There could be a couple and some friends celebrating an anniversary party and wish to sit in one section away from so many people. This would be considered an “anniversary party” and “private” as in do not disturb them and no other customers should sit next to them. The LAPD would have to give approval for this as well.
21. This is fine, we never sublease, use promoters, or music groups. All our efforts have been made through word of mouth and hard work. We have never had a dance club and we don't require a cover charge because we are obviously a restaurant.
22. This is fine, we would like it if section C could have less requirements as it costs us more to maintain additional records.
23. This is fine, we ask that LAPD keep in mind the costs which we will endure.
24. Not reasonable, further lighting exterior is fine, lighting inside the cafe is fine as well. We are concerned about how little collaboration we have with the LAPD in reference to our concerns being heard. How can we guarantee their “satisfaction” of lighting will not ruin the ambience of our business.
25. This is fine, we recently helped out the police department with this information.
26. This is fine.
27. This is fine.
28. This is fine.
29. This is fine.
30. This is fine.
31. This is fine.
32. This is fine.
33. This is fine. This has never happened anyways.
34. This is fine.
35. This is fine.
36. This is fine.
37. This is fine.
38. This is fine.
39. This is fine. We even clean the parking spaces in front as trash is blown our way from the rest of Westwood who doesn't have these requirements.
40. This is fine.
41. This is fine.
42. This is fine.
43. This is fine.
44. This is fine.
45. Not reasonable, this simulates redlining within Westwood.
46. Not reasonable, all departments would have to review if a new owner came in to take over the location anyways and if the new owners caused issues it would have to be reviewed as well therefore, there is no mandatory need for this condition.

47. Not reasonable, Many of these investigations were unwarranted. A few were even handled in a discriminatory manner which caused us problems at the cafe and disturbed our customers resulting in losses for our business. Many investigations conducted resulted in no indictment or misdemeanor therefore, we should not be responsible for payment of a large fee and in such a short time frame.
48. Not reasonable, not fair and these terms force us to accept these conditions simulating coercion, because it gives us no other choice.